



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/039,843	11/06/2001	Kazutoshi Kaizuka	45144.00035	45144.00035 8950	
7590 02/02/2004		EXAMINER			
Dave B. Koo,	Esq.		SPISICH,	MARK	
Squire, Sanders & Dempsey L.L.P. 14th Floor			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
801 S. Figueroa Street			1744	*****	
Los Angeles, CA 90017-5554			DATE MAILED: 02/02/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/039,843	KAIZUKA, KAZUTOSHI			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Mark Spisich	1744			
The MAILING Period for Reply	DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
THE MAILING DATE - Extensions of time may be after SIX (6) MONTHS from for reply specion of the period for reply specion of the period for reply is specion of the second for reply is specion of the second for reply within the second for reply received by the second for reply second for r	OF THIS COMMUNICATION. available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 in the mailing date of this communication. fied above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply ecified above, the maximum statutory period water or extended period for reply will, by statute,	IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE date of this communication, even if timely filed	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to	communication(s) filed on 03 De	ecember 2003.	·			
2a) This action is F	FINAL. 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.				
3) Since this appl closed in acco	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims	-		•			
4a) Of the above 5) ☐ Claim(s)6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is 7) ☐ Claim(s)		n from consideration.				
Application Papers						
10) The drawing(s) Applicant may n Replacement dr	ot request that any objection to the awing sheet(s) including the correct	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is obtaining.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ejected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
a) All b) So 1. Certified 2. Certified 3. Copies of applicat * See the attache 13) Acknowledgment since a specific of 37 CFR 1.78. a) The transl	ome * c) None of: I copies of the priority document I copies of the priority document of the certified copies of the priority ion from the International Burear id detailed Office action for a list int is made of a claim for domestic reference was included in the first ation of the foreign language pro int is made of a claim for domestic	s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receive	ion No ed in this National Stage ed. e) (to a provisional application) r in an Application Data Sheet. ceived. ceived. and/or 121 since a specific			
Attachment(s)						
	ited (PTO-892) s Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>5</u>	5) Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/039,843

Art Unit: 1744

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Applicant's election of the invention of group I (claims1-6) in Paper filed 3

 December 2003 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).
- 2. Claims 7-10 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse (see above) in Paper filed 3 December 2003.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. Claims 2-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claims 2-6 each recite various elements. Review of the specification would seem to indicate that, for example, the "far-infrared" material is selected from the listed materials and not necessarily including all of them. It is suggested that claims 2-6 be amended to more clearly define which materials are positively recited. For example, claim 2 could recite "powders selected from the group including alumina...". Claim 3 could be "mineral is a silicon-based mineral" and claim 4 could be "mineral is selected from the group". Applicant should review the claims for any additional informalities.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/039,843

Art Unit: 1744

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1-3 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Muhler et al (USP 3,618,154). The patent to Muhler discloses a toothbrush (20) comprising a base (26), handle (22) as well as a plurality of bristles (28) comprised of a base plastic material such as nylon (column 4, line 7-21) as well as including an abrasive additive incorporated into the plastic material thereof wherein the abrasive additive in a preferred embodiment includes both alumina (one of the "far-infrared radiation materials) (see column 5, line 62-65) and zirconium silicate (also column 5, liens 62-65) (which is a "multi-element silicon based mineral"). Muhler also discloses that the materials disclosed at column 3 (lines15-23) may include mixture thereof.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. Claims 4 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Muhler et al (USP 3,618,154). The patent to Muhler does discloses one of the additive as comprising at least in part a silicon based mineral. The use of other minerals which fall in this general category would be obvious to one of ordinary skill based on cost, availability.

Art Unit: 1744

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The cited patents are pertinent to brushes with plastic bristles which further incorporate different (powder) additives.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark Spisich whose telephone number is (571) 272-1278. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th (6-3:30), Alternate Fri off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert J Warden can be reached on (571) 272-1281. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Mark Spisich
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1744

MS